WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		V.			
Cesar Montes Avila		Cesar Montes Avila	Case Number:	15-9259MJ	
was pre	esent an	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 d represented by counsel. I conclude he detention of the defendant pending	by a preponderance of the evidence	een submitted to the Court. Defendant that the defendant is a serious flight	
I find hu	, o prop	andersage of the evidence that	FINDINGS OF FACT		
i iina by	ind by a preponderance of the evidence that:				
The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, wasIf released herein, the defendant faces removal proceed				• ,	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.				
		The defendant has no significant con-	acts in the United States or in the D	istrict of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal his	story.		
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
		The defendant is an amnesty application substantial family ties to Mexico.	nt but has no substantial ties in Arizo	ona or in the United States and has	
		There is a record of prior failure to ap	pear in court as ordered.		
		The defendant attempted to evade la	w enforcement contact by fleeing fro	m law enforcement.	
		The defendant is facing a maximum of	of years imp	risonment.	
Court a	The Co	ourt incorporates by reference the materie of the hearing in this matter, except a	rial findings of the Pretrial Services as noted in the record.	Agency which were reviewed by the	
		C	ONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
	1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defend No condition or combination of condit		earance of the defendant as required.	
		DIRECTION	ONS REGARDING DETENTION		
pending order o	rections g appea f a court shall del	facility separate, to the extent practical. The defendant shall be afforded a restricted of the United States or on request of a giver the defendant to the United States	ble, from persons awaiting or servin asonable opportunity for private con an attorney for the Government, the p Marshal for the purpose of an appe	sultation with defense counsel. On person in charge of the corrections	
proceed	•		AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	trict Count it is sourced by reconscibility	
District from the objection	er a cop Court. e date o	y of the motion for review/reconsiderat Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.F f service of a copy of this order or after the district court. Failure to timely file	ion to Pretrial Services at least one of P., effective December 1, 2009, Defe the oral order is stated on the recor	endant shall have fourteen (14) days d within which to file specific written	
Pretrial intervie	Service	URTHER ORDERED that if a release t s sufficiently in advance of the hearing ovestigate the potential third party custo	before the District Court to allow Pro		
DATE:		y 27, 2015		Swilled	
			United	Eileen S. Willett d States Magistrate Judge	